

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/800,909 03/08/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5532						
		David Wallach	WALLACH=12B							
	7590 03/15/2002									
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. PATENT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES SUITE 300 624 NINTH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER  GAMBEL, PHILLIP							
						WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
									1644	$\mathcal{C}$
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>						
	7 32 3	Application No.	` \ <sup>2</sup>	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/800909		WALLACHETAL		
Office	Acuon Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		GAMBEL		1644		
Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	t with the c	orrespondence address -		
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time in after SIX (8) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. hay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. 15 from the mailing date of this communication. A specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by the Office later than three months after the mailin indjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	138(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. a, cause the application to become	y a reply be tim thirty (30) day MONTHS from a ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).		
1) Responsi	ive to communication(s) filed on 1	17/02				
2a) This action		nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this closed in Disposition of Clair	s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal r Ex parte Quayle, 1935	natters, pr C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.		
	376 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
	above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	is/are allowed.	ž				
	376 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) _ Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	g(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		y the Exa	miner.		
	may not request that any objection to the		-			
11) The propos	ed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
If approve	d, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowled	dgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.(	C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
	] Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Cert	tified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Cerl	tified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	n Application	on No. <u>08/4773</u> 47; 08/32(6		
3.∐ Cop	ies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu iched detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have be ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en receive )).	ed in this National Stage		
	ment is made of a claim for domest	· ·				
a) 🔲 The tra	anslation of the foreign language progressing the made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has	s been rec	eived.		
Attachment(s)						
	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	•	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/800909 Art Unit 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendment, filed 1/7/02 (Paper No. 8), has been entered.
 Claims 1-12 have been canceled.
 Claims 13 and 15 have been amended.

Claims 12-16 are pending.

2. Applicant's priority to USSNs 08/476,862 and 08/321,685 and Israeli application 107,267 is acknowledged.

Given applicant's earliest U.S. priority is now October 12, 1994, a New Grounds of Rejection has been set forth herein.

- 3. Applicant's amended claims and Ben-Ami Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132, filed 1/7/02 (Paper Nos. 8/9) are sufficient to overcome the previous rejections set forth in the previous Office Action as they read on the instant claims.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wallach et al. (EP 0398327) (see entire document). Wallach et al. teach the use of TNF receptor-specific antibodies (e.g. pages 6-7 and Examples), including the 67-specificity of claim 16 (e.g., see Tables III and IV) for various procedures, including the treatment of diseases or conditions such as GVHD or autoimmunity, including arthritis (e.g., see page 7, paragraphs 4-5 and claim 27).

Applicant is reminded that no more of the reference is required than that it sets forth the substance of the invention. The claimed functional limitations would be inherent properties of the referenced methods to treat certain conditions and diseases with TNF receptor-specific antibodies, including the 67-antibody specificity.

Also, see Ex parte Novitski 26 USPQ 1389 (BPAI 1993); Mehl/Biophile International Corp. V. Milgraum, 52 USPQ2d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 1999); Atlas Powder Co. V. IRECO, 51 USPQ2d 1943 (Fed. Cir. 1999); Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v. Ben Venue Laboratories 58 USPQ2d 1508 (CAFC 2001





Serial No. 09/800909 Art Unit 1644

Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2112.01.

For example in <u>Atlas Powder Co. V. IRECO</u>, 51 USPQ2d 1943 (Fed. Cir. 1999); the following was noted. "Artisans of ordinary skill may not recognize the inherent characteristics or functioning of the prior art... However, the discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer. "The Court further held that "this same reasoning holds true when it is not a property but an ingredient which is inherently contained in the prior art".

## See MPEP 2112-2112.02

6. Applicant's comments, filed 1/7/02 (Paper No. 8), that it would have been expected that all of the antibodies disclosed in Wallach et al. (EP 0398327) would have been expected to block TNF binding to the p75 TNF receptor but that it would not have been expected that an antibody which did not block binding of TNF to the TNF receptor and could still inhibit the cytocidal effect of TNF are acknowledged.

However, these comments are not found convincing given the inherent properties of the referenced 67 antibody to treat GVHD and autoimmune diseases in the prior art, as indicated above.

- 7. No claim is allowed.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Serial No. 09/800909 Art Unit 1644

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

PHILLIPGAMOSCO

Phillip Gambel, PhD. Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600 March 13, 2002